

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,863	02/27/2002		Mark Austin	00780	1668
45695	7590	11/03/2005		EXA	MINER
WITHERS	& KEYS	FOR BELL SOU	NGUYEN	NGUYEN, THUAN T	
P. O. BOX 7	1355				
MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2005	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/085,863	AUSTIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	THUAN T. NGUYEN	2685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> is/are pending in the ap)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
application from the International Burea	•	oorvoo iii tiiis reational otage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/085,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Claim 3 and 14-25 were canceled. Pending claims are claims 1-2, and 4-13 for reconsideration.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, and 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chawla et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,496,700 B1) in view of Clarkson et al. (US Patent 6,842,431 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Chawla discsleos "a method of measuring frequency interference between a plurality of cell sites in a wireless telecommunications system, the method comprising: selecting a frequency in a first cell site to be used as a beacon frequency; activating the beacon frequency in the first cell site; recording, at a telecommunications switch, a signal strength of the beacon frequency as measured by a first wireless device operating in the first cell site and a signal strength of the beacon frequency as measured by a second wireless device

Application/Control Number: 10/085,863

Art Unit: 2685

operating in another cell site; and determining the frequency interference between the first cell site and the second cell site based on the signal strengths" (Figs. 2 & 3, and col. 3/lines 23-45, col. 6/lines 25-39, table 1-1 for signal strengths measured from adjacent base station cells regarding as a first and a second cell for adjacent cells, and col. 16/table 5 for C/I ratio signals for neighbor list of C/I of two adjacent cells or of the first and second cell sites).

Chawla does not further teach the step of "wherein selecting a frequency includes." selecting a frequency carrying the least amount of traffic across a plurality of cell sites and protecting the frequency from carrying traffic" as amended; however, this technique is taught by Clarkson as Clarkson shows in a same technique and apparatus for including the adjustment and optimization of wireless networks by using a frequency planning tool to select a frequency from a cell site to be used as a beacon frequency or pilot signal in an area denoted as traffic load that network can handle with target blocking rate (Clarkson, Fig. 3A, within the mentioned area, the amount of traffic is less while performing the testing using a pilot signal and the coverage area is protected with target blocking rate, refer to col. 8/lines 50-65; col. 10/lines 18-52 for using pilot signals for optimization of network; and col. 12/lines 43-46; col. 13/lines 50-64; and col. 19/lines 35-39 for spatial distribution for the amount of traffic is determined). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chawla's system with Clarkson's disclosed technique in order to provide an enhanced method and apparatus for measuring frequency interference using a beacon frequency or a pilot signal within an area of least amount of traffic across a plurality of cells and also protecting the frequency from carrying traffic as noted.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,863

Art Unit: 2685

As for claims 2 and 8, Chawla discloses "wherein the first and second cell sites are adjacent cell sites" (Fig. 1 & 2), and further "comprising removing the beacon frequency from availability for use in the system by wireless device users", i.e., beacon frequency is simply a test signal, and it can be removed from availability for use in the system (Chawla, col. 6/lines 25-39).

As for claim 4, Chawla discloses "wherein measuring the frequency interference includes constructing a carrier/interference matrix" (col. 4/lines 49-54; and Table 5 in col. 16 shows a C/I matrix).

As for claim 5, Chawla discloses further "comprising de-activating the beacon frequency after the signal strengths are recorded", i.e., beacon frequency just being activated for a period of time, and as soon as measurements are recorded or collected by the base station in the matrix from as disclosed above, the beacon frequency are not used or activated (refer to col. 15/lines 1-29).

As for claims 6 and 7, Chawla shows "wherein selecting a frequency includes selecting a guard frequency" (col. 6/lines 24-39); and the least used or frequency carrying the least amount of traffic are addressed earlier in claim 1 in view of Clarkson.

As for claim 9, Chawla discloses further "comprising repeating the method for all cell sites in the telecommunications system" (Fig. 3 for procedures in frequency reuse scheme in the telecommunication system, see col. 16/line 26 to col. 18/line 64 for the procedure repeats as necessary).

As for claims 10 and 11, Chawla further discloses "comprising adding the beacon frequency to a list of frequencies" and "wherein adding the beacon frequency to a list of

Art Unit: 2685

frequencies includes adding the beacon frequency to a mobile assisted handoff (MAHO) list in a telecommunications switch" (col. 6/lines 4-39).

As for claim 12, Chawla shows further "comprising determining whether the first cell site and the second cell site may be selected for frequency re-use based on determining the frequency interference" (col. 3/lines 22-45 for neighbor list and reuse the same or adjacent channels regarding as the first and the second cell sites within the neighbor cells list).

As for claim 13, Chawla further shows "comprising selecting a trigger frequency to simulate a hand-off situation for the second wireless device" (col. 19/line 65 to col. 20/line 13 for selecting a quality frequency for handoff as suggested in col. 6, lines 4-23 for MAHO).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,863 Page 6

Art Unit: 2685

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Clarkson et al, Duplessis et al., Billon, and Haartsen (PTO-892 attached) disclose systems related to perform adjustment in frequency interference with some network planning and configuration.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window,

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (571) 272-7899.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,863

Art Unit: 2685

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 October 27, 2005

Nguega V6 10-31-2005

Page 7

PRIMARY EXAMINER